

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<b>,</b>				
BOTTLES OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	T	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		469201-493	4179	
09/645,835	08/25/2000	Scott Koenig	10,201		
7590 07/01/2002			EXAMINER		
Alan J Grant Carella Byrne Bain Gilfillan Cecchi Stewart &			KAM, CHIH MIN		
Carella Byrne	Bain Gilfillan Cecchi Ste	wait &	12		
Olstein			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6 Becker Farn	n Road			9.4	
Roseland, NJ	07068		1653	1.1	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/200	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	
		09/645,835		KEONING ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Ohih Min Kam	ı	1653	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
	Dank				
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re eamed	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is increased in the provision of the provision o	136(a). In no event, h bly within the statutory I will apply and will ext	owever, may a reply be timinimum of thirty (30) dayire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this con  TO (35 U.S.C. & 133).	nmunication.
itatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	May 2002 .			
1)⊠ 2a)□	2h)⊠ T	his action is no	n-final.		
3)∐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	, Expano que,	or formal matters, p yle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)🖾	Claim(s) 9-30 and 33-37 is/are pending in th	e application.	- untion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-24</u> is/are withdra	wn from conside	eration.		
	Claim(s) 37 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>25-30 and 33-36</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election req	uirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	biosted to by the E	xaminer.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \[ \square ac	cepted of b)	e held in abevance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	tile diawing(s) o ic: a)∏ ani	proved b) disap	proved by the Examir	ier.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	Evaminer			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	LXAITIII IOI			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	-iriority upo	ler 35 I I S C 8 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	10, 00 0.0.0.3	- (- / ( / )	
a	l) All b) Some * c) None of:	. 4- hava baar	roceived		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been	received in Appli	cation No.	
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ul>	lents have been	nts have been rec	eived in this Nationa	l Stage
,	application from the International	list of the certif	ied copies not rec	eived.	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provision	al application,
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language     Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nrovisional ab	plication has been	I ECEIVEU.	
Attachm					No(s).
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) o(s)	4) Interview Sun 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Paper I mal Patent Application (I	PTO-152)
		ine Action Summa		Part	of Paper No. 15

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/645,835

Art Unit: 1653

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the rejection of last office action is withdrawn because a new ground rejection of claims 25-30 and 33-35 under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph, has been made.

#### Status of the Claims

2. Claims 9-30 and 33-37 are pending.

Applicants' amendment and response filed on May 22, 2002 (Paper No. 13) has been entered and fully considered. Claims 31 and 32 have been canceled, and claims 9-24 remain withdrawn from consideration. Claims 25-30 and 33-37 are examined.

### Rejection Withdrawn

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The previous rejection of claims 25-37 under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph, regarding the 75%, 90%, 95% and 25% sequence identity to the parent sequences, is withdrawn in view of applicants' cancellation of claims 31 and 32, applicants' amendment to the claim, and applicants' response at pages 3-4 in Paper NO. 13.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The previous rejection of claims 31 and 32, under 35 U.S.C.102(a) as being anticipated by Spellerberg *et al.* (Infection and Immunity 67, 871-868 (1999)), is withdrawn in view of applicants' cancellation of the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The previous rejection of claims 31, 32 and 37, under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Spellerberg *et al.* in view of Bentle *et al* (US 4,694,073), is withdrawn in view

of applicants' cancellation of claims 31 and 32, applicants' amendment of claim 37, and applicants' response at pages 4-5 in Paper No. 13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 25-30 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25, for example is indefinite because of the use of the term "75% identical to the sequence of SEQ ID NO:4" renders the claim indefinite, it is unclear whether the polypeptide sequence is identical to SEQ ID NO:4 or has 75% sequence homology to SEQ ID NO:4 as to "75% identical". The term "identical" is an absolute term, use of "sequence homology" or "sequence identity" is suggested. See also claims 26-28 and 33-35. Claims 29, 30 and 36 are included in this rejection for being dependent on a rejected claim and not correcting the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

7. Claims 33-36 are indefinite because the amino acid sequence of Sp36 is not identified with a "SEQ ID NO:", it is not clear which amino acid sequence of Sp36 is used for comparison. Claims 34-36 are included in this rejection for being dependent on a rejected claim and not correcting the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

In response, applicants indicate the amino acid sequence of Sp36 is identified as SEQ ID NO:7", however, the claim does not recite the sequence identifier. Recitation of "SEQ ID NO:7" is suggested.

Application/Control Number: 09/645,835

Art Unit: 1653

#### Conclusion

8. Claims 25-30 and 33-36 are rejected. It appears claim 37 is free of prior art and is allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (703) 308-9437. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. CMK Patent Examiner

***

June 20, 2002

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

fan Cahron Carper Por